

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | FI | LINCDATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | _ |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| | APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNET DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | _ |
| 10/759,608 | | 01/16/2004 | | . Alexander Miller | 4452-596 | 8175 | |
| | 27799 | 799 7590 03/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE | | | | BUTLER, DOUGLAS C | | | |
| | 551 FIFTH A | VENUE | | | | _ | |
| SUITE 1210 | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | NEW YORK | NV 10 | 1176 | | 3683 | - | |

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/759,608 | MILLER ET AL. | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | Douglas C. Butler | 3683 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ad | ldress | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutions. | If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 | esponsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2004. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PT0 | O-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ···· + France of 1 | , | | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office | Action Summary Page 1 | art of Paper No./Mail D | ate 03032005 | | | | | | |
| - Cincer | | ALOI FAPEL NO./IVIAII D | G.U VUUAUUJ | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/759,608

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The Replacement Drawings are acceptable.

2. The terminal disclaimer has been accepted by the Group paralegal, thus

overcoming the double patenting rejection.

3. The certified translation of applicant's priority document overcomes the rejection

based upon Causemann (270).

4. The submitted prior art and search report from the corresponding French

application have been considered.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of

the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

7. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

submitted DE 19920017 A1 to Pradel.

Application/Control Number

Application/Control Number: 10/759,608 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Pradel at Fig. 3 discloses a suspension strut with cylinder 2, chamber (between elements 11, 3) with curable material 4, spring collar 3 with isolating sleeve (vertically extending past 8), and an isolating sleeve 1 which contacts the sleeve section of spring collar 3.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pradel (017).

Pradel appears to lack the feature that the isolating sleeve 11 is made of plastic.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the sleeve 11 of Pradel out of plastic since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

- 10. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 12. Applicant should note that the examiner has requested a translation of the above applied non-English reference to Pradel from STIC within the USPTO and intends to attach a translation with the next office action, if available. Should applicant obtain translation independently of the USPTO, a copy of it should be forwarded to the examiner for inclusion in the file. This office action is not made final since to do so without a translation would not provide applicants with a full and fair hearing on patentability.
- 13. Applicant's corresponding German and French applications are made of record on Form PTO-892.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Exmr Butler at telephone number (703) 308-2575.

DOUGLAS C. BUTLER

Butler/vs March 7, 2005